Office Supreme Court U.S.
FILED
OCT 7 1940
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1940

No. 442

THE HUGULEY MANUFACTURING CO. ET AL.,
Appellants,

v.

THE GALSTON COTTON MILLS ET AL.,
Appellees.

APPELLEES' MOTION TO DISMISS.

LOUIS D. BRANDEIS,
WILLIAM E. BENDAR,
Counsel for Appellees.

Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1901.

THE HUGULEY MANUFACTURING COMPANY ET AL., APPELLANTS,

v.

THE GALETON COTTON MILLS ET AL., APPELLEES.

To HON. JOHN T. MORGAN,
Washington, D.C.,
Counsel for the appellants in the above entitled cause.

GENTLEMEN:

Please take notice that on the twenty-eighth day of October, A. D. 1901, at the opening of the court, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, the motion of which the foregoing is a copy will be submitted to the Supreme Court of the United States for the decision of the court thereon.

Annexed hereto is a copy of the brief of argument to be submitted with the said motion in support thereof.

Yours very truly,

LOUIS D. BRANDEIS,
WILLIAM H. DUNBAR,
Counsel for the Appellees.

15458

Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1901.

IE HUGULEY MANUFACTURING COMPANY
ET AL., APPELLANTS,

v.

THE GALETON COTTON MILLS ET AL.,
APPELLEES.

Appellees' Motion to Dismiss.

Now come the Galeton Cotton Mills, J. J. Robinson
d L. Lanier, the appellees in the above-entitled cause,
pearing by their counsel in this behalf, and move the
urt to dismiss the appeal in the above-entitled cause :

First. Because the transcript of the record filed in
s court is so defective that this court cannot dispose of
d appeal upon the merits.

Second. Because the decree from which the said ap-
al purports to have been taken is a decree of the Cir-
it Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, and the
nscript of the record filed in this court fails to show
jurisdiction in this court of the appeal from said de-
e, and it appears from said transcript of record that

the above-entitled cause is a suit begun in the Circuit Court for the Northern District of Georgia, from which court said cause was taken by appeal to said Circuit Court of Appeals, and that the original jurisdiction of said Circuit Court must have been founded wholly on diversity of citizenship, and that the decree of said Circuit Court of Appeals therein was final.

By their attorneys,

LOUIS D. BRANDEIS.
WILLIAM H. DUNBAR.